IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 14282 of 2005

Between:

V. Srinivasulu, S/o. Mastanaiah, R/o. Vaddipalem, Ciramic Factory Post, Nellore.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Associate Director Of Research, Regl. Agricultural Research Station, Lam Farm Guntur-34.
2 The Associate Dean, Agricultural College, Bapatla.
3 The Associate Dean, College of Fisheries, Muthukuru.
4 P. Srinivasa Rao, Record Assistant,
Associate Dean, Agricultural College, Bapatla.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more especially one the nature of writ of Certiorari calling for records leading up to an inclusive of Memo No.A1/5/2005 dated 22.6.2005 and quash the same as illegal and without jurisdiction and pass such other order or as are deemed fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner : MR.P.SRI RAGHU RAM

Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3.: MR.B.SIVA REDDY

The Court made the following :

O R D E R:

-

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner against his transfer order in Memo No.A1/5/2005, dated 22.06.2005.

2. This Court while ordering notice directed the respondents to continue the writ petitioner at the place where he is working prior to the impugned order. Today, at the time of hearing, the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent-University produced a Memo No.A1/5/2005, dated 06.07.2005 as per which one Mr. P.Srinivasa Rao, Record Assistant, who was transferred and posted in the place of the petitioner, was again transferred to a different place i.e., Lam Form, Guntur with immediate effect duly canceling the impugned order dated 22.6.2005. It is therefore, represented by the learned counsel that as a result of the cancellation of the impugned order, the petitioner is not being shifted or disturbed from the place where he was working prior to the impugned order.

3. In view of the above, the writ petition is closed recording the above facts. No costs.

_____

S.Ananda Reddy, J.

Dt. 18..07.2005
Kvh

To

1 The Associate Director Of Research, Regl. Agricultural Research Station, Lam Farm Guntur-34.
2 The Associate Dean, Agricultural College, Bapatla.
3 The Associate Dean, College Of Fisheries, Muthukuru.
4 P. Srinivasa Rao, Record Assistant,
C/o.Associate Dean, Agricultural College, Bapatla.

5. Two CD copies